Spectroscopic ellipsometry on thin titanium oxide layers grown on titanium by plasma oxidation
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Electronic devices based on tunnel junctions require tools able to accurately control the thickness of thin metal and oxide layers on the order of the nanometer. This article shows that multisample ellipsometry is an accurate method to reach this goal on plain uniform layers, in particular for titanium. From these measurements, the authors carefully studied the oxidation rate of titanium thin films in an oxygen plasma. The authors found that the oxide thickness saturates at 5.4 ± 0.4 nm after 10 min in the plasma with an ion acceleration power of 30 W. Increasing this power to 240 W increases the saturation value to 7.6 ± 0.4 nm. An x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy study of the oxide has shown that the oxide created by O₂ plasma is stoichiometric (TiO₂). The developed model was also used to measure the thicknesses of titanium and titanium oxide layers that have been polished using a chemical mechanical planarization process and a material removal rate of 5.9 nm/min is found with our planarization parameters. © 2011 American Vacuum Society.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Progress in microfabrication and unique properties of tunnel junctions have led many research groups to study tunneling devices and propose them as candidates for a wide range of logic and analog applications. Specific properties of semiconductors are sometimes mandatory for the operation of these devices (e.g., resonant tunneling diodes 1 and metal-insulator tunneling transistors 2,3). Other features of some metals are also exploited in tunneling devices: ferromagnetism in magnetic random access memories 4,5 and magnetic tunneling transistors 6,7, and superconductivity in rapid single flux quantum devices 8 and superconducting quantum interference devices. 9 Moreover, the high density of carriers in metals improves the performances of single electron transistors (SETs). 10 Whatever the fabrication process used, tunnel junctions are elaborated whether by insulator deposition onto the metal or by oxidation of the metal itself. While the former potentially faces issues related to diffusion and defects at the metal/insulator interface, 11 the latter requires accurate characterization of thickness and electrical properties since it may vary significantly with oxidation parameters. This thickness characterization is conveniently performed on unstructured thin films, commonly by spectroscopic ellipsometry. However, this method is known to be difficult to handle with thin metallic films because they are optically absorbent and often discontinuous. 12,13 The present article shows that this difficulty may be lifted by fabricating several samples sharing the same metallic film but oxidized with different parameters. Indeed, when the data set is fit with appropriate models and a multisample method, the thickness of an insulator grown on the same metal with any parameter may be unambiguously determined by adding it to the reference database and refitting the data set. This is illustrated by the demonstration of thin insulators grown at the surface of Ti layers by O₂ plasma oxidation. This example is of practical interest because it is a critical step for the fabrication of room-temperature single electron transistors with a process called nanodamascene. 14,15 It is also shown that multisample fitting of ellipsometric data enables one to characterize the thickness of titanium layers even when it is decreased by chemical mechanical planarization (CMP).

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two series of samples were fabricated. The first, hereafter referred to as series A, was prepared as follows: first, on a silicon wafer (100 oriented) an 89-nm-thick dry thermal SiO₂ layer was grown at 1050 °C for 77 min in a 60% N₂ and 40% O₂ ambient atmosphere followed by an electron beam evaporation, with a rate of 0.2 nm/s, of 25-nm-thick Ti layers. Series B was oxidized for 80 min in the same furnace conditions for a SiO₂ thickness of 100 nm and had evaporated Ti layers of 15, 20, and 25 nm thicknesses. The samples of series A were then oxidized in a pure O₂ plasma carried out in a PLASMALINE 211 barrel asher at room-temperature modulo, the local heating routinely observed in such a desktop barrel asher. The power used ranged from 30 up to 125 W and oxidation time was varied from 30 s up to 60 min. Series B was oxidized in a surface technology systems multiplex inductively coupled plasma (ICP) standard rate III-V system using an O₂ plasma with 600 W of coil power, 30–240 W of platen power, and times ranging between 30 s and 10 min. The pressure in the chamber was set to 40 mTorr.

Spectroscopic ellipsometry was carried out in an alpha-SE ellipsometer (J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.) at a 70° angle of incidence. The wavelengths ranged from 380 to 900 nm and the data were fit with COMPLETEEASE software (J.A. Woollam...
III. ELLIPSOMETRIC MODEL

As is well known, ellipsometric measurements are relatively easy to obtain. However, in order to extract useful parameters such as dielectric function and thickness of layers, one must use a theoretical model of the measurement and find the best match between theory and experiment. To do this, a sample model can be constructed of different layer models reproducing the optical behavior of each layer. There are two different types of layer models that can be used to fit spectroscopic ellipsometry data. The first one is based on a tabulated set of data for the index of refraction $n$ and the extinction coefficient $k$. This type of layer model is often based on published experimental measurements and generally works well on pure and highly crystalline materials such as semiconductor substrates. The very small number of fit parameters (actually only thickness) reduces the possibility of multiple solutions for the fit parameters, this being called parameter correlation. On the other hand, mathematical dispersion models propose to carry out Lorentz, Sellmeier, Cauchy, and many other layer models to simulate the dielectric function of materials. They are useful when dealing with materials for which no $n$ and $k$ values are reported, which is commonly the case for melted, nonideal, or unknown materials. However, since there are more parameters to fit in this type of layer model, the possibility of correlation is greater.

The layer model used for the substrate is a tabulated set of data for semiconductor grade silicon single crystal, originally published by Herzinger et al. For the SiO$_2$ layer, a Sellmeier layer model was chosen since it provided the lowest MSE values when fitting spectra obtained on reference thermal SiO$_2$ samples. It is a mathematical dispersion model, given by Eq. (2),

$$n = \left( a_\infty + \frac{A\lambda^2}{\chi^2 - B^2} - E\lambda^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$  

(2)

It is based on the transparent region ($k \to 0$) of a Lorentz classical model and is well adapted for films that are transparent in the measurement wavelength range. The layer model used for the TiO$_2$ layer is a Cauchy model. This is also a mathematical dispersion model [see Eq. (3)] obtained from a series expansion of the Sellmeier layer model and it is therefore an approximate function of it,

$$n = A + \frac{B}{\lambda^2} + \frac{C}{\lambda^4}.$$  

(3)

This layer model was assumed to have $k=0$ and was chosen because it resulted in lower MSE values than with the Sellmeier layer model although they both should be similar in the studied wavelength range. Moreover, thicknesses measured with this layer model were found consistent to the profilometry measurements presented further. It is more challenging to characterize titanium since it absorbs light in all the wavelengths used by our ellipsometer. This means that the interference from the underlying SiO$_2$ layer is dependent on the thickness of the absorbing Ti which appears in data as a change in dielectric function leading to parameter correlation. Multisample analysis (MSA) can be used to reduce the degree of correlation (as detailed in the next paragraph). As mentioned by Fujiwara, the dielectric function of an absorbing film can be modeled by the combination of a number of Lorentz oscillators accounting for the bound electron response to the incident light. In this study, three oscillators were needed in order to get a good match with the data [Eq. (4)].
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Index offset ($e_\text{en}$) 0.034 0.034 0.034

Amplitude (Amp) 213 12.9 19.6

Broadening (B) 7.23 1.49 2.01

Energy (E) 0 1.69 3.02
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Amplitude (A) 2.14
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$$\varepsilon_2 = \text{Einf} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\text{Amp}_i B_{ri} E_{ni}}{E_{ni}^2 - E^2 - iEB_{ri}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Since the Lorentz model is Kramers–Kronig consistent, the

software uses this feature to integrate the best-match model of $\varepsilon_2$ (imaginary part) to find $\varepsilon_1$ (real part). The best fit parameters of the three models are shown in Table I.

MSA is a good method for reducing the number of fit parameters by providing more information on the samples\textsuperscript{12} MSA works by assuming one set of optical functions for all samples and by letting selected parameters vary from sample to sample. For MSA to give appropriate results, it is important that the samples used are presumably similar in their dielectric functions and layer physical properties, namely roughness among the set. In the present MSA study, only thickness of the Ti and TiO\textsubscript{2} was varied between samples. Note that in the case of the CMP fits, SiO\textsubscript{2} layer thickness was added as a fit parameter since it changed during the process. To reduce the correlation, MSA has been used on many samples before the Ti evaporation fabrication step. With the information obtained from these early measurements, all parameters of the SiO\textsubscript{2} layer (Sellmeier’s index offset, amplitude, center energy, and IR pole amplitude) were set to fixed values in the following analysis. The same was done on a series of samples with the same Ti thickness (evaporation) unintentionally oxidized in air to fix the parameters of the TiO\textsubscript{2} layer (Cauchy’s A, B, and C parameters) and certain parameters of the Ti layer as well (Lorentz’s Br, En, and Einf). These three parameters of the Lorentz oscillator were fixed because they had relatively small effects on the quality of the fit for different thicknesses. Fixing them also considerably reduced the correlation in the sample model. Since the optical functions of thin absorbing films vary with thickness,\textsuperscript{12,13,19} simultaneous regression of multiple samples with thicknesses far apart may decrease the quality of the resulting best-matched data. Therefore, the dielectric functions of Ti were assumed constant in a range of a few nanometers and only series of samples with thicknesses within this range were fit together. For example, samples that started with the same Ti thickness (e.g., 20 nm) and that were oxidized for different durations were fit together since the Ti thickness variation was relatively small.

The sample model as it was used to get the data mentioned in Sec. IV is shown in the inset of Fig. 1. No interface or roughness layer was used in the model because it did not improve significantly the quality of the fit. Also, results obtained with the interface and/or roughness layers were not consistent. Figure 1 shows data for two typical samples, one with native oxide only and one that was oxidized in O\textsubscript{2} plasma for 4 min. The best-match model data are also shown and can be seen to fit well on the experimental data, confirming the quality of our model. Notice that the higher level of noise at both ends of the spectra may be caused by the higher absorption of Ti in these regions but did not seem to affect the quality of the fit significantly. In Fig. 2, a series of uniqueness tests is shown for three samples oxidized in plasma for 1, 2, and 4 min. In these tests, the thickness of the TiO\textsubscript{2} layer is varied over a range of values while the other parameters are fit to find the best match to the experimental data. For these three samples the uniqueness range, as defined by Hilfiker et al.,\textsuperscript{12} is of ~0.3 nm, which also confirms the quality and sensitivity of this model to the thickness of the layers. Similar uniqueness ranges were obtained for the Ti layer thickness.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Validation of the ellipsometric model

To validate the ellipsometric model, another measurement technique had to be used to determine the thickness of the Ti and TiO₂ layers independently. Profilometry is a convenient method to measure steps with nanometric precision. However, since unstructured samples are used, patterning had to be performed. To achieve vertical steps in the Ti layer, patterns were made in samples of series B using a lift-off technique. The steps were then measured by profilometry and gave an average of 22.0 nm thickness for Ti and TiO₂ together. Ellipsometry measurements on three samples with identical Ti film gave an average thickness of 22.9 nm. This validates the accuracy of the ellipsometric model within approximately 1 nm.

XPS measurements were taken on four different samples of series B to verify the stoichiometry of the TiO₂. The main Ti peak for a sample with native oxide only and one oxidized at 30 W for 1.5 min are shown in Fig. 3. The secondary peak and satellites are identified in the figure as well. As mentioned by McCafferty and Wightman, the main peak at 459.0 eV has been identified to Ti⁴⁺, related to the stoichiometric TiO₂. The arrows indicate the energies of the substoichiometric Ti₂O₃ (Ti³⁺) and TiO (Ti²⁺) oxides as well as the metallic titanium peaks. We see that the small amount of these suboxides quickly disappear after just 1.5 min of low power plasma oxidation. Therefore, the ellipsometric data were fit with two different sets of the Cauchy parameters for the oxide layer, one for the samples before oxidation and the other for samples after oxidation.

B. Characterization of a thin Ti layer oxidized by O₂ plasma

As already evoked, characterizing the growth of titanium oxide by plasma oxidation is crucial in order to control the size and capacitance of TiO₂ potential barriers in the fabrication of SETs. Therefore, oxidation of series B was conducted as a function of time. An ICP reactor was used because it ensures good reproducibility, control, and process compatibility with standard microfabrication techniques. Errors on the ellipsometric measurements have been calculated with statistics on 13 identical samples before oxidation, which shows the variation on samples considered identical. It also incorporates nonidealities such as roughness and interface quality variations since they were not explicitly modeled. An experimental error was also obtained from three identical samples oxidized in the same time, power, and pressure conditions.

Ellipsometric measurements on all samples used for the oxidation as a function of time and platen power give starting thicknesses of 1.3 ± 0.4 nm for TiO₂ and 21.2 ± 1.2 nm for Ti. Figure 4 shows the gain in oxide thickness and the corresponding Ti loss after oxidation at 30 W. The top curve shows a rapid increase of the oxide growth but seems to reach a maximum value of about 4.1 ± 0.4 nm after 5–10 min. Added to the native oxide present before oxidation, the total oxide thickness reaches ~5.4 ± 0.4 nm. The bottom curve also saturates at about ~3.1 ± 1.2 nm thus leaving 18.1 ± 1.2 nm of Ti after oxidation. All other measurement data are fully stoichiometric even though the native oxide is not.
series also exhibited this saturation behavior for longer oxidation times and other starting Ti thicknesses (not shown). From these data, we can also calculate an approximate value for the volume expansion of TiO$_2$ versus Ti. It is simply given by the absolute value of the ratio of the TiO$_2$ gain and the Ti loss for each sample. The volume expansion shown in Fig. 4 is relatively stable between 1.1 and 1.4.

In order to better control and standardize a process it is often more practical to vary a parameter other than time. In this manner, the plateau appearing for high oxidation times enables a variation of another parameter such as the acceleration of the plasma ions toward the sample without worrying about oxidation time variations. Therefore, platen power was varied from 15 to 240 W with a constant oxidation time of 10 min. Data shown on Fig. 5 also reveal a saturation behavior for the oxide creation curve. The increase in thickness of the oxide at 240 W is of 6.3±0.4 nm which is 2.2±0.8 nm higher than that of 15 W and gives an overall maximum oxide thickness of 7.6±0.4 nm for the plasma conditions used. This enables an easy configuration for future oxidation steps in the fabrication process of SET devices. The Ti curve is more linear showing continuous decrease at higher powers. The volume expansion seems to follow the same trend with a roughly linear decrease at higher powers. The decrease is from ~1.3 to less than 0.8 in the range used.

Differences in the oxidation rate have been observed and attributed to a routinely observed and measured He leak in the ICP chamber. This helium is used as a cooling agent to keep the sample at 20 °C but the seal between the plasma chamber and the He back cooling flow is done with the sample holder and varies from run to run. Considering this, part of the O$_2$ plasma is composed of the leaked He which affects the oxidation dynamics. An estimate of the process variation caused by this effect is therefore included in the error bars shown in Figs. 4 and 5. It is expected that the volume expansion would not vary since the stoichiometry of the oxide is stable with any plasma time or power, which is seen in Fig. 4 and confirmed by the previously mentioned XPS measurements. Increasing the oxidation time then does not increase the penetration depth of the ions at a fixed platen power. However, the linear decrease of both titanium thickness and volume expansion can be explained by the increased sputtering that occurs at higher powers. Indeed, with higher power comes higher acceleration and a deeper penetration of the ions accompanied by a mechanical etching of the surface. The surface is therefore oxidized and at the same time etched. The two phenomena reach an equilibrium consuming more Ti for the same TiO$_2$ thickness created in the end. It is therefore normal to see the volume expansion dropping under unitary values. The variation of time and power thus shows a relatively good control on the oxide thickness created, although the decrease in Ti thickness has to be kept in mind for the calculation of feature sizes in actual devices.

C. Characterization of a thin Ti layer processed by chemical mechanical planarization

CMP is a key process used in the nanodamascene method for the fabrication of single electron devices.\textsuperscript{14,15} It is important to characterize the material removal rate (MRR) of this process since a nanometer control of the planarization is required for the fabrication of room-temperature single electron devices. This was carried out with samples of series A and by planarization intervals of 15–60 s. Measurements taken on two samples are combined in Fig. 6. The filled squares show a roughly linear dependence of material thick-
ness as a function of CMP time. The top left linear fit gives a Ti–TiO₂ MRR of 5.9 nm/min. The negative thicknesses at longer planarization times mean that Ti and TiO₂ have been entirely polished away and that SiO₂ is being polished, here, with a MRR of 6.4 nm/min.

Since the thickness variations are too important to use MSA in this particular case, each measurement is fit individually and the MSE is used to estimate the accuracy of the fits. The MSE is below 5 at the beginning and then suddenly goes up to more than 10 when approaching the surface. When all the Ti and TiO₂ are removed, SiO₂ is being polished and the MSE goes back to values lower than 5. The high values near the Ti/SiO₂ interface can be explained by the nonuniformity of the Ti film at these thicknesses. Indeed, the nanoparticles mechanically removing the material leave behind a surface composed of scattered patches of Ti and SiO₂. Since the model assumes a perfectly flat surface, it is easy to see that the encircled data in Fig. 6 are not accurate and are therefore not used to determine the MRR. This was confirmed by observation of different colors on the surface of the sample between the process steps. Also, some ellipsometric measurements of series B with 5- and 10-nm-thick Ti have been made and showed high MSE and inconsistent thicknesses, confirming that very thin layers of Ti are more difficult to measure with this method.

V. SUMMARY

Ellipsometry measurements taken on samples treated with plasma oxidation and chemical mechanical planarization show that it is possible to develop a reliable model and characterize the thickness of titanium and titanium oxide thin films and layers. However, great care must be taken in the development of the model because the absorption of light by Ti or other metals causing a change in the dielectric functions of thin films decreases the quality of measurements and fits. MSA has been shown to greatly reduce this problem. It was also shown that there is a plateau above ~5 min of oxidation in the ICP reactor and that its height can be controlled by changing the acceleration voltage applied to the sample holder (platen power). This allows for a good control in the process of creating tunnel junctions in a SET. The ellipsometric model was then used to characterize the MRR of the CMP process. The technique is currently used to improve the chemical slurry in order to get a better MRR selectivity of Ti versus SiO₂. This is necessary to prevent certain common CMP problems such as dishing and erosion of structures while planarizing. Finally, XPS measurements of oxidized samples showed that only TiO₂ is formed when oxidizing in an oxygen plasma. Although ellipsometry was carried out ex situ in this study, it is, in principle, possible to use MSA with in situ ellipsometers placed either in an evaporator, in a plasma/thermal oxidizing chamber, or on a CMP setup.
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